

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,491	08/30/2001	Leonard Forbes	303.523US2	4565
21186 7590 10/17/2003 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
			KANG, DONGHEE	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
		·	DATE MAU ED: 10/17/2001	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/945,491	FORBES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
, , , ,	Donghee Kang	2811
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation app ars on the cover shet v	vith th correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become a statute.	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 <i>July</i> 2003</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	for allowance except for formal m ce under <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-47,61,63,64,66-69 and 71	1 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1-47, 61,63,64,66-69 and 7	<u>1</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any obje		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are req		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	:. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority of		
	documents have been received in	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action 	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) \square The translation of the foreign land 15) \boxtimes Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Patent Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Patent Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449) Patent Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO-948) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. Applicant's Amendment and Response to Paper No.6 have been entered and made of Record. Claims 48-60, 62, 65, 70 & 72-94 are cancelled. Thus claims 1-47, 61, 63-64, 66-69 & 71 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 & 34 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 1, comma (,) should be inserted between first and second.

Re claim 34, a tope is misspelled. It should be top. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1: the phrase "means for moving at least a portion of the second conductive member as a solid unit" is unclear how to move the portion of the second conductive member as a solid unit. The figure shows that whole second conductive member moves rather than a portion.

Claims 2-5 are rejected because each includes the limitations of independent claim 1.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jain et al. (US 6,107,165).

Jain et al. teach an antifuse structure in an integrated circuit, comprising (Fig.18): first (12) and second (28) noncontacting conductive members; and a layer (20) comprising hydrogen in solid solution adjacent to one of the first and second nonconacting conductive members (Col.4, lines 33-38).

7. Claims 20-25, 27 & 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Velde et al. (US 4,528,583).

Velde et al. teach an antifuse structure integrated circuit, comprising (Figs.1-3): a vacuum or gas filled, hollow space chamber (21) having a top bounded by

aluminum wire (10), a bottom bounded by a semiconductor substrate that includes a silicon oxide (6) covered epitaxial layer (3), and interior walls extending between the top

Art Unit: 2811

and bottom, a titanium contact layer (8), and a platinum layer (11). Velde et al. do not expressly teach the titanium contact layer is a high-gas-saturable layer and the platinum layer is low-gas-saturable layer, wherein the high-gas-saturable layer has a hydrogen-gas-solubility at least 10 times greater than that of the conductive, low-gas-saturable layer. However, this feature is inherent in Velde's device because they are composed of same material.

8. Claims 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jun (US 5,652,169).

Re claim 36, Jun teaches a structure for a programmable electrical connection in an integrated circuit, comprising (Fig.7H):

Chamber having bottom, a top, and two or more opposite interior-wall extending between the top and bottom; a conductive layer within the chamber and contacting at least two of the opposing interior-wall portions; and one or more conductive members, each overhanging the top of the chamber.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jun (US 5,652,169).

Art Unit: 2811

Re claims 32 & 34, Jun teaches an antifuse structure in an integrated circuit, comprising (Fig.9H):

A chamber having a bottom and a top and one or more interior walls extending between the top and bottom; a conductive layer within the chamber and comprising aluminum (Col.7, line 17-20); and first and second conductive members each overhanging the top of the chamber and contacting the conductive layer within the chamber. Jun does not expressly teach first and second conductive members overhanging the top of the chamber by at least 250 Å. It is an obvious matter of routine experimentation to find the optimal distance ranges. Generally, difference in distance will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such thickness is critical.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the distance of the conductive members, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Re claim 33, Jun teaches the chamber comprising a substrate; and an insulative layer on the substrate and having an opening exposing a portion of the substrate, with the exposed portion of the substrate defining at least a portion of the bottom of the chamber of the chamber and the opening defining the interior sidewalls of the chamber.

Re claim 35, Jun teaches the first and second conductive members are fused to the conductive layer.

Art Unit: 2811

of same material.

11. Claims 26, 30 & 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Velde et al. (US 4,528,583).

Velde et al. teach an antifuse structure integrated circuit, comprising (Figs.1-3): a vacuum or gas filled, hollow space chamber (21) having a top bounded by aluminum wire (10), a bottom bounded by a semiconductor substrate that includes a silicon oxide (6) covered epitaxial layer (3), and interior walls extending between the top and bottom, a titanium contact layer (8), and a platinum layer (11). Velde et al. do not expressly teach the titanium contact layer is a high-gas-saturable layer and the platinum layer is low-gas-saturable layer, wherein the high-gas-saturable layer has a hydrogengas-solubility at least 10 times greater than that of the conductive, low-gas-saturable layer. However, this feature is inherent in Velde's device because they are composed

Velde et al. do not expressly teach first and second conductive members overhanging the top of the chamber by at least 250 Å. It is an obvious matter of routine experimentation to find the optimal distance ranges. Generally, difference in distance will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such thickness is critical.

Double Patenting

12. Claims 7-13, 16-19, 28, 37-47, 61, 63-64, 66-69, & 71 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,288,437. See office action mailed on April 15, 2003.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2811

13. Claims 7-13, 16-19, 28, 37-47, 61, 63-64, 66-69, & 71 will be allowable over the prior art of record upon timely filing of a terminal disclaimer.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donghee Kang whose telephone number is 703-305-9147. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Donghee Kang

Donghee Emy

Examiner Art Unit 2811

dhk